IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2774 OF 2004
IN
REVIEW PETITION (St.) NO.25247 OF 2004
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.6679 OF 2002.

Shobana B. Mantrawadi. .... Petitioner.
V/s
Chairman & Managing Director, Syndicate Bank & Ors... .....Respondents.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	))))	Court's or Judge's Orders)

Mr.I.A.Nasikwala and Mr.H. Nasikwala i/by Mr.Y. J. Karanjia, Adv. for the petitioners.

Mr.P. K. Rele with Mr.Piyush Shah, Adv. For respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

CORAM:V.G.PALSHIKAR
AND
SMT.RANJANA DESAI, JJ.

5.11.2004 (Chamber)

PC:

Delay in filing review petition is condoned for reasons mentioned in the application.

Heard on merits.

By this petition the petitioner seeks

review of the order passed by us in Writ Petition No.6679 of 2002. The petition was fully argued before us. Respondents-Bank was also heard and the order of which review is sought was passed. If that order is in any manner wrong or illegal remedy is appeal against that order and not review petition. Scope and extent of review petition is restricted and limited to consideration of errors apparent on the face of record or discovery of documenrts available but not being produced before the Court inspite of due diligence. Neither of the grounds is available in the present case. Non citation of the recent judgments of the Supreme Court of India cannot be a ground for review because the order under review was not passed inspite of those judgments but was passed on law as submitted before the Court by the parties concerned. We therefore see no reason to interfere with the review petition. Review petitions is accoridngly rejected.